

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,464	05/07/2004	Ming-Che Tan	ACMP0039USA	3463
27765 75	90 09/22/2005		EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			SEVER, ANDREW T	
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD,	VA 22116		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			2851	
	-		DATE MAILED: 09/22/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/709,464	TAN, MING-CHE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Andrew T. Sever	2851				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence add	iress			
Period for Reply	I V IS SET TO EVOIDE A F	MANTU(S) AD TUIDTV (20	N DAVC			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ite, cause the application to become	IICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this contable (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 May 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment/s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	\ 152\			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	(8) 5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (PTC	r- 104)			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 11, 16, and 18 in figure 3. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

See paragraph 19 which spans pages 6 and 7 of the specification.

Claim Objections

Page 3

3. Claims 1-24 are objected to because of the following informalities: confusing use of the word projector. Appropriate correction is required.

Applicant claims that distances are measure from the "projector to the backside of the projector" and from the "projector to the front side of the projector". Literally this means that the measurement is made from some point on the projector to where the projector casing ends on the front side and on the backside. It is clear, however, from applicant's specification that applicant is intending to measure a distance from some point on the projector housing to a wall or other barrier in the indoor space exterior of the projector that is behind it (see paragraphs 7 and 8 of applicant's specification) and one that is in front of it as shown for example in applicant's figure 3 where the projector is 10 and the back side measurement is from 10 to the walls of the room 13 in the direction of 40c and the front side measurement is from 10 to the walls of the room 13 in the direction of 40A. Using the assumption that applicant intended to claim "a detecting module for detecting distances from the projector to the front side of the indoor space the projector is to project within and from the projector to the back side of said indoor space", the claims have been examined with regards to the pertinent prior art. Similar errors were present in the other independent claims 12 and 22. Accordingly all claims are objected too either due to their dependency to claims 1, 12, and 22 or in addition for similar errors and/or grammatical errors present in the dependent claims. Appropriate correction is required and a thorough review of the claim language to assure it is in proper idiomatic English.

As will be stated in the reasons for indicating allowable mater, all independent claims using this assumption are allowable over the pertinent prior art.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-24 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objections, set forth above in this Office action.
- According to the assumption made above, applicant claims a projector which among other things measures the distance between the projector and the front and back sides of the indoor space where the projector is to project within (For example the distance between the projector and the screen mounted in the front of a room and the back wall of that same room.) This was not found in the prior art. Although it is known to use beams from projectors and other electronic devices to measure distance such as taught in US 4,954,962 to Evans, Jr. et al. in figure 1 where a device projects two beams forward and then detects the distance to various obstacles, it was not found in the prior art a device which along with the other limitations of claim 1 (and the other independent claims) as nearly as can be understood detects both the distance to the rear of the indoor space and front of the indoor space. Other examples are: US 5,114,224 to Miyamoto et al. which teaches in figure 1 a projector utilizing an infrared camera and projector 12 and 1 to track

Application/Control Number: 10/709,464

Art Unit: 2851

a projection surface in front of the projection device; US 6,554,431 to Binsted et al. which teaches in figures 1-4 a similar projection set up for tracking the location of the surface to be projected on. US 5,455,647 to Fujiwara teaches in figure 1 a projector that determines the distance to a screen 1 in front of the projector for determining a non-orthogonal relation ship between the projector and the surface to be projected on in order to correct for keystone type distortions, however Fujiwara does not teach projecting to any surfaces that are in the back of the indoor space if the projection screen is considered to be mounted in the front of the indoor space. Since no prior art references were found that taught all the limitations of the independent claims including the measurements between the projector and at least the front and backs of the indoor space where the projector is to project, all claims are indicated as allowable provided the informalities outlined above are corrected for and the assumptions made in this reason for allowance are found to be correct.

Page 5

6. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/709,464 Page 6

Art Unit: 2851

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure:

US 2005/0128437 to Pingali et al. which teaches a projector that can project on a plurality of

surfaces and move about a room it does not teach adjusting a projection parameter based on

measuring distances between it and the surface to be projected upon and other surfaces in the

indoor space.

US 6,292,171 to Fu et al. teaches in figure 1 a system which uses laser points projected on the

screen to adjust projection parameters.

US 2004/0184013 to Raskar et al. teaches in figure 1 a projection device, which utilizes among

other things measurements to a projection surface to adjust parameters of an output image.

8. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

See above objection to the claims in paragraph 3 and objections to the drawings and

specification in paragraph 1 and 2.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte

Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,464

Page 7

Art Unit: 2851

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew T. Sever whose telephone number is 571-272-2128. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WBCerkey

William Perkey
Primary Examiner